REMARKS

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action

mailed January 12, 2005. Claims 1-13 were pending in the Application prior to the

outstanding Office Action. Claims 8-13 are being canceled with prejudice or disclaimer

of the invention therein, and claims 1-4 and 7 are being amended. Claims 1-7 remain for

the Examiner's consideration. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding

rejections are respectfully requested.

I. Examiner Interview

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Versteeg for the telephonic interview

with Applicants' representatives Jeffrey Kurin (41,132) and Sheldon Meyer (27,660) on

February 8, 2005. During the interview, the 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph rejections

were discussed.

Specifically, Applicants' representatives proposed a certain amendment to

independent claim 1, and the Examiner agreed that such amendment would overcome the

35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph rejection of claim 1. The suggested amendment has been

made to independent claim 1, and similar amendments have made to independent claims

2, 3 and 7, as shown above.

The Examiner and Applicants' representatives also discussed the 35 U.S.C. §112,

first paragraph rejection of claim 13, but did not come to an agreement.

Further discussion of the 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph rejections are discussed

below in Section III.

II. Election/Restriction

In the Office Action it was stated that claims 9-12 were withdrawn from

consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. In order to expedite issuance

of a patent, Applicants are canceling claims 9-12 without prejudice or disclaimer of the

inventions therein.

-6-

III. CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-8 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for

allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. While Applicants

believe that there is at least inherent support for these claims, in order to expedite

issuance of a patent, independent claims 1, 2, 3 and 7 have been amended in the manner

discussed (with regards to claim 1) during the Examiner Interview. Accordingly,

Applicants respectfully request that the 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph rejection of

claims 1-7 be withdrawn.

With regards to claims 8 and 13, Applicants believe that there is clearly support in

the specification and drawings for claiming "in an upper portion" (found in claim 8) and

"opening in said housing" (found in claim 13). Applicants do not believe that the claims

need to be limited to the specific embodiment shown in the figures. Nevertheless, in

order to expedite issuance of a patent that includes claims 1-7, Applicants are canceling

claim 8 and 13 without prejudice or disclaimer of the inventions therein. Applicants

reserve the right to pursue all of the claims currently being canceled in one or more

continuing application.

IV. DOUBLE PATENTING

It was stated in the Office Action claim that should claim 4 be found allowable,

claim 5 will be objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof.

Applicants do not agree with this assertion. However, to expedite issuance of a patent,

claim 4 has been amended to include additional features. Thus, claims 4 and 5 should

now clearly not be duplicative.

V. INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

It was stated in the Office Action that FR 269059 was not considered, since the

Examiner did not find a copy of it in the parent application. Accordingly, Applicants

have attached a copy of FR 269059 hereto (along with an English translation of the

abstract) and request that it be considered.

-7-

## VI. CONCLUSION

In light of the above, it is respectfully requested that all outstanding rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this reply, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 2 10 05

Jeffrey R. Kuri

Reg. No. 41,132

FLIESLER MEYER LLP

Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4156

Telephone: (415) 362-3800 Facsimile: (415) 362-2928

Customer No. 23910